

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,184	12/14/2001	Yang-Pioung Kim	946,038	1088
7590 02/07/2006			EXAMINER	
John S. Egbert			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
Harrison & Egbert 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
412 Main Street			1773	
Houston, TX	77002		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TI-				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/022,184	KIM, YANG-PIOUNG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Monique R. Jackson	1773				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from 15 MONTHS	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNGER AND THE MAILING DYNGER AND THE MAILING THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,—	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in acco	ordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>11 aa</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	nd 12 is/are pending in the applicate ve claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. nd 12 is/are rejected. _ is/are objected to. _ are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s Applicant may replacement d	on is objected to by the Examine) filed on is/are: a) according a request that any objection to the rawing sheet(s) including the correct according to the Examine is objected to by the Examine are according to the examine according to the ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgma a) All b) S 1. Certified 2. Copies application	ent is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: d copies of the priority documents d copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priorition from the International Bureau ed detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 10/31/05 has been entered. Claims 11-12 are pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 11 and 12, as now amended, recite that the white ink layer has a different adhesive property than the two-component adhesive such that adhesion between the adhesive and the transparent polyethylene terephthalate film is stronger than between the white ink and the transparent polyethylene terephthalate film, however, the instant disclosure at the time of filing does not teach or suggest these new adhesion limitations.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As recited above, the Examiner takes the position that the new limitations added by the Applicant in the amendment filed 10/31/05 are not fully supported by the original disclosure at the time of filing and hence constitute new matter as recited above. The Examiner also notes that the Applicant did not provide the required statement with the filed amendment regarding the introduction of no new matter and further did not

Art Unit: 1773

provide any indication of where in the original specification, one would find support for the added adhesion limitations.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,184

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Monique R. Jackson

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

February 2, 2006